Birth Death Processes and Queuing

**Question 1.** Consider the $M/M/m/m$ queue. That is an $M/M/m$ queue where, if all servers are busy then the customers are turned away. Model this as a birth-death process.

1. Write down the birth death coefficients $\lambda_k$ and $\mu_k$.
2. From the equations for the general birth death process show that the probability that a customer finds the system full is given by:

$$
\pi_m = \frac{(\lambda/\mu)^m/m!}{\sum_{n=0}^{m}(\lambda/\mu)^n/n!}.
$$

**Question 2.** (From Bertsekas and Gallager Q 3.31) Consider the following (spurious) argument about the $M/G/1$ queue. When a customer arrives, the probability that another customer is being served is $X$. Since the served customer has mean service time $\bar{X}$ then the average time to complete the service is $\bar{X}/2$. Therefore, the mean residual service time is $(\lambda \bar{X}^2)/2$. What is wrong with this argument?

**Question 3.** Taken from Kleinrock problem 2.13.

Consider a system in which the birth rate decreases and the death rate increases as the number in the system $k$ increases. That is:

$$
\lambda_k = \begin{cases} 
(K-k)\lambda & k \leq K \\
0 & k \geq K 
\end{cases},
$$

$$
\mu_k = \begin{cases} 
k\mu & k \leq K \\
0 & k \geq K
\end{cases}.
$$

Write down the differential-difference equations for $P_k(t) = Pr\{k \text{ in system at time } t\}$.

**Question 4 (*).** Consider the general birth-death process as discussed in the lectures with the birth rate $\lambda_i$ for $i \geq 0$ and the death rate $\mu_i$ for $i \geq 1$. Assuming that the system is ergodic, prove the relation

$$
\pi_k = \pi_0 \prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{i-1}}{\mu_i},
$$

where $\pi_i$ is the equilibrium probability of the $i$th state.

Hint: Proof by induction is a good approach.
**Question 5 (**)**. Consider the $M/M/1/2$ process with birth rate $\lambda$ and death rate $\mu$ where $\mu > \lambda$. The final figure 2 means that at most two customers are allowed in the system — further customers arriving are turned away. This can be modelled as a birth-death process with the following characteristics

$$ \lambda_i = \begin{cases} \lambda & i = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} $$

$$ \mu_i = \begin{cases} \mu & i = 1, 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} $$

1. Represent the process as a Markov Chain — give the transition matrix $P$.

2. If $P_i(t)$ is the probability that the process is in state $i$ at time $t$ then derive the three differential difference equations $\frac{dP_i(t)}{dt}$ for the system.

3. Write down a matrix equation which relates the three differential difference equations. Show how this relates to the transition matrix. (Hint: Your matrix equation should have the form)

$$ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dP_0(t)}{dt} \\ \frac{dP_1(t)}{dt} \\ \frac{dP_2(t)}{dt} \end{bmatrix} = X \begin{bmatrix} P_0(t) \\ P_1(t) \\ P_2(t) \end{bmatrix}. $$

4. Using the general solution for the Birth-Death Process from lectures, find $\pi_0$, $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$.

**Question 6 (**)**. Consider the $M/G/1$ queue where customers are waiting to pick up packages in the post office. Customers arrive in a Poisson process with an average rate of one every two minutes. Each customer has to pick up $k$ packages ($0 < k < 4$). With $Pr\{k = 1\} = 0.5$, $Pr\{k = 2\} = 0.25$, $Pr\{k = 3\} = 0.2$ and $Pr\{k = 4\} = 0.05$. If the post office takes one minute to find each package, then use the P-K formula to find $W$ the average waiting time in the queue.

**Question 7.** Consider the network given by Figure 1.

Packet traffic enters the network as a Poisson process with rate $\lambda$. The three queues all have a service rate $\mu$ where $\mu > \lambda$. When the traffic enters the system then, with probability $p$ it enters Q2 and with probability $1 - p$ it enters Q1. Traffic leaving Q1 leaves the system. Traffic leaving Q2 enters Q3. Traffic leaving Q3 enters either Q1 or Q2 with probabilities $1 - p$ and $p$ respectively. (The probability for each packet is independent).

1. Assuming that the system is such that all queues are ergodic calculate $N_1$, $N_2$ and $N_3$ the expected queue lengths at each queue. Calculate also $T_1$, $T_2$ and $T_3$ the expected time that a packet will spend in each queue before leaving it.

2. Therefore calculate $N$ the expected total number of packets in the whole system and $T$ the expected total time a packet spends in the system. Why is $T$ not $T_1 + T_2 + T_3$?

3. Find what value of $p$ will overload the system in terms of $\lambda$ and $\mu$.

**Basic Graph Theory and Routing**

**Question 8.** Show the steps of the Prim-Dijkstra algorithm beginning at O to create an MST for the graph.
Question 9 (*). Use Kruskal’s Algorithm to create an MST for the same graph. In what order are nodes connected? (Indicate any possible ambiguities.) Why can Kruskal’s algorithm not be used in a distributed manner here? Is the MST unique?

Question 10. The versions of Dijkstra’s and Bellman-Ford’s Algorithm in the lectures proved the algorithms for finding the shortest path from one origin to every destination on the network. Test your understanding of the proofs by constructing the reverse algorithms and proving them. That is, find the shortest paths from any origin to one destination.

Question 11 (*). Use Bellman-Ford to find the shortest path from 1 to each other node in the diagram by completing the table. After how many steps is the algorithm complete?

Question 12. Use Dijkstra’s algorithm to find the shortest paths from node 1 in the same diagram by completing this table. Therefore, what is the shortest path to node 6.
Figure 3: Weighted graph for Dijkstra’s algorithm and Bellman-Ford

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$D_1^i$</th>
<th>$D_2^i$</th>
<th>$D_3^i$</th>
<th>$D_4^i$</th>
<th>$D_5^i$</th>
<th>$D_6^i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Nodes for Dijkstra’s Algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Permanent nodes (and costs)</th>
<th>Temporary Nodes (and costs so far)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (0)</td>
<td>2 (3), 3 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>